

### **Appendix A** Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

• the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: City Development	Service area: Highways & Transportation
Lead person: Andrew Bellamy	Contact number: 77552

1. Title: DfT Pothole Action	Fund 2016/17	
Is this a:		
Strategy / Policy	x Service / Function	Other
If other, please spe	cify	

## 2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

This screening focuses on the repair of roads to prevent to formation of pothole using funds allocated for that specific purpose by the Department for Transport. The work is routine maintenance and supplements the work already planned for m the primary capital and revenue funding.

#### 3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socioeconomic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions

Yes No

Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?	X
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?	X
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by <b>X</b> whom?	
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?	X
<ul> <li>Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on</li> <li>1. Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment</li> <li>2. Advancing equality of opportunity</li> <li>3. Fostering good relations</li> </ul>	x

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6** and **7** 

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5.**

#### 4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

Ward members will be informed of the sites within their wards when the locations have been identified

#### Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

The equality, diversity, cohesion and integration screening has confirmed that a full impact assessment is not required, as the proposals have no direct impact on any of the equality characteristics as it is purely an administrative/financial function.

• Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

# 5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.

Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	
Date to complete your impact assessment	
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	

6. Governance, ownership and approval Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening			
Name	Job title	Date	
Andrew Molyneux	Highways Asset Manager	3/07/2016	

#### 7. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

Please send a copy to the Equality Team for publishing

Date screening completed	03/07/2016
Date sent to Equality Team	
Date published	
(To be completed by the Equality Team)	